

# Pathwise Stochastic Integrals for Model Free Finance

---

Authors: Nicolas Perkowski & David J. Prömel

Presentation: Gideon Chiusole

# Table of Contents

---

1. What is Model Free Finance?
2. Outer Measure, Superhedging, and Arbitrage
3. Topology and Ito Type Integration as a Continuous Operator
4. Rough Path Integration for Typical Price Paths
5. Recap & Discussion

## What is Model Free Finance?

---

## What is Model Free Finance?

Usual approach to pricing an option with maturity  $T$  and underlying  $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  and pay-off  $\Phi(T)$  at maturity  $T$ :

1. Select a class of models  $\mathfrak{M}$  suitable for the problem. Each  $M(\theta) \in \mathfrak{M}$  is specified by a finite dimensional parameter vector  $\theta$ .
2. Calibrate the model using market data i.e. choose  $\hat{\theta}$  s.t. the mean-square error between  $M(\hat{\theta})$  and the market prices of the traded options is minimal.
3. Compute the option value as  $p_\Phi = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\theta}}[e^{-rT}\Phi(T)]$  (under the model  $M(\hat{\theta})$ ).

**Problem:** Different models may give different prices!

$\Rightarrow$  What can we say about the value of an option with pay-off given only current market data?

## Challenges of Model Free Finance?

⇒ get rid of probabilistic model, **but** (at least) two problems:

- What does "no arbitrage" even mean if there is nothing like risk?
- how to interpret SDEs like

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t, \quad S_0 = \xi \quad (1)$$

without the Ito framework?

# Arbitrage Problem

## Theorem

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be s.t.  $S$  is a semi-martingale. Then the following are equivalent

- $\exists$  equivalent local-martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}$
- $S$  satisfies *no free lunch with vanishing risk (NFLVR)*
- *no arbitrage (NA) & no arbitrage of the first kind (NA1)*

"The crucial point is that (NA1) is the essential property which every market model has to satisfy, whereas (NA) is nice to have but not strictly necessary" (p. 9, [PP16]).

$\Rightarrow$  Reformulate arbitrage as what can be replicated without infinite debt, along the lines of (NA1)

## Integration Problem

More generally, when does

$$\int_0^t H_s dM_s = \lim_{|P| \downarrow 0} \sum_{s,t \in P} [H_s(M_t - M_s)] \quad (2)$$

make sense?

Framework	$H$	$M$
Basic	simple	anything
Riemann	$C^0$	$C^1$
Riemann-Stieltjes	$C^0$	BV
Young $\alpha + \beta > 1$	$C^\alpha$	$C^\beta$
Föllmer	$\nabla F(M.)$	quad. variation $< \infty$
Ito	cont. adapted	cont. $L^2$ semi-martingale

## Integration Problem: Solution?

Two proposed solutions:

- (1) Topology on space of paths making the integral continuous
  - + no restriction of possible price paths
  - not a Banach space theory
- (2) Rough path integral
  - + Banach space theory, extending Riemann Stieltjes, Young, Föllmer, ...
  - restricted set of price paths, but still defined for all "typical" price paths
  - no immediate financial interpretation of compensation terms
  - needs an a priori definition of integration for second-order increment

- $T \in (0, \infty)$
- $\omega \in \Omega = C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$
- $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is the coordinate process i.e.  $\forall \omega \in \Omega : S_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$
- $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is the natural filtration with  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(S_s : s \leq t)$

## Notation II

Recall: A process  $H : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is called **simple strategy** if  $\exists 0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots$  and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable bounded functions  $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t. for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have  $\tau_n(\omega) = \infty$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$H_t(\omega) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n(\omega) 1_{(\tau_n(\omega), \tau_{n+1}(\omega)]}(t), \quad (\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3)$$

Its integral against  $S$  is given by

$$(H \cdot S)_t(\omega) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n(\omega) (S_{\tau_{n+1} \wedge t}(\omega) - S_{\tau_n \wedge t}(\omega)). \quad (4)$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , a simple strategy is called  **$\lambda$ -admissible** ( $\in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ ) if  $(H \cdot S)_t(\omega) \geq -\lambda$  for every  $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ .

# Outer Measure, Superhedging, and Arbitrage

---

### Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ . Then

$$\bar{P}(A) := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \exists (H^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda + (H^n \cdot S)_T(\omega)) \geq 1_A(\omega) \forall \omega \in \Omega \right\} \quad (5)$$

is the **cheapest superhedging price** for  $1_A$ .

- Note  $\bar{P}(\Omega) \leq 1$  by choosing  $H^n = 0$ .
- $\bar{P}$  defines an outer measure on  $\Omega$ .

This has nothing to do with "how likely is this path to happen"!

## Typical Price Paths and Sets with $\bar{P}(A) = 0$

Sets of outer measure 0 have an **arbitrage interpretation!**

### Lemma

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{P}(A) = 0$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $(H^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$  s.t.

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + (H^n \cdot S)_T(\omega)) \geq \infty \cdot 1_A(\omega) \quad (6)$$

i.e.  $\bar{P}(A) = 0$  if infinite profit can be made from investing in the paths of  $A$ , while risking no more than 1.

"Property  $P$  holds for **typical** price paths"  $:\Leftrightarrow$  "property  $P$  holds for all  $\omega \notin A$ "

## Proof of Lemma

---

Proof.

### Proposition

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  s.t.  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -local martingale, and let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \bar{P}(A)$ . In particular,  $\bar{P}(A) = 0$  implies  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

**Proof.**

### Definition

A map  $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called **model free arbitrage opportunity** if  $X \not\equiv 0$  and if there exists a  $c > 0$  and a sequence  $(H^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_c$  s.t.

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H^n \cdot S)_T(\omega) = X(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega. \quad (7)$$

Relation to classical arbitrage: See prop. 2.6 and 2.7

# Topology and Ito Type Integration as a Continuous Operator

---

Classically, we have the Ito isometry:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T H_s dM_s \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [\|H^2\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \langle M \rangle_T] \quad (8)$$

$\Rightarrow \left\| \int_0^T H_s dM_s \right\|_2^2$  is controlled by the quadratic variation of  $M$  and the size of  $H$ .

## Lemma

There exists an increasing sequence of (random) partitions (each consisting of stopping times)  $(\sigma_k^n(\omega) : k \in \mathbb{N})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  s.t. a *typical* price path  $\omega \in \Omega$  has quadratic variation along i.e. the sequence

$$V_t^{n,i}(\omega) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( S_{\sigma_{k+1}^{n,i}(\omega) \wedge t}(\omega) - S_{\sigma_k^{n,i}(\omega) \wedge t}(\omega) \right)^2, \quad t \in [0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (9)$$

converges uniformly to a function  $\langle S^i \rangle \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Lemma

Let  $F$  be a step function and  $d = \dim \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then for any  $a, b, c > 0$  we have

$$\bar{P}\left(\{\|F \cdot S\|_\infty \geq ab\sqrt{c}\} \cap \{\|F\|_\infty \leq a\} \cap \{\langle S \rangle_T \leq c\}\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2d}\right) \quad (10)$$

$\Rightarrow \|F \cdot S\|_\infty$  can be controlled in terms of  $\|F\|_\infty$  and  $\langle S \rangle_T$ .

Define

- the set

$$\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) := \{\Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d\} / \{X_t = Y_t \text{ for typical price paths for every } t\}.$$

- an expectation operator

$$\bar{E}[X] := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \exists (H^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda + (H^n \cdot S)_T(\omega)) \geq X(\omega) \forall \omega \in \Omega\} \quad (11)$$

- a metric  $d_\infty(X, Y) := \bar{E}[\|X - Y\|_\infty \wedge 1]$  making  $\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  into a complete metric space

## Integral as Continuous Operator?

**Want**  $H \mapsto (H \cdot S)$  to be continuous w.r.t.

$$(\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d), d_\infty) \rightarrow (\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d), d_\infty). \quad (12)$$

but that does **not** work!

**Example**

## Intuition? Solution?

Consider the situation for  $ds$  on  $[0, \infty)$  instead of  $dS$  on  $\Omega$ .

We have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

But

$$\left\| \int_0^t \frac{1}{n} ds \right\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot t \quad (14)$$

does **not** converge **uniformly** over  $t$ .

**Solution:** Consider compact convergence i.e.  $\|f_n 1_K\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq [0, \infty)$

## Integral as Continuous Operator?

**Instead**, use control of  $H \mapsto (H \cdot S)$  via  $\langle S \rangle_T$  !

$$(\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d), d_\infty) \rightarrow (\bar{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d), d_{loc}). \quad (15)$$

with

$$d_{loc}(X, Y) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \underbrace{\bar{E}[(\|X - Y\|_\infty \wedge 1) 1_{\{\langle S \rangle_T \leq 2^{-n}\}}]}_{=: d_{2^{-n}}(X, Y)} \quad (16)$$

## Integral as Continuous Operator!

Idea: Let  $F, G \in \bar{L}_0$  be simple. For any  $c > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}d_c((F \cdot S), (G \cdot S)) &= \bar{E}[\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle S \rangle_T \leq c\}}] \\ &\leq \bar{E}[\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle S \rangle_T \leq c\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|F - G\|_\infty \leq a\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \geq ab\sqrt{c}\}}] \\ &\quad + \bar{E}[\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle S \rangle_T \leq c\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|F - G\|_\infty \geq a\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \geq ab\sqrt{c}\}}] \\ &\quad + \bar{E}[\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle S \rangle_T \leq c\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\| (F - G) \cdot S \|_\infty \leq ab\sqrt{c}\}}] \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2d}\right) + \frac{d_c(F, G)}{a} + ab\sqrt{c}\end{aligned}$$

## Conclusion of Model Free Ito Integration

### Theorem

Let  $F$  be an adapted, cadlag process with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there exists  $\int F dS \in \overline{L}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  s.t. for every sequence of step function  $(F^n)$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty(F^n, F) = 0$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{loc}((F^n \cdot S), \int F dS) = 0$ .

The integral process  $\int F dS$  is continuous for typical price paths and there is a representative which is adapted, although it takes values in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . The map  $F \mapsto \int F dS$  is linear and satisfies

$$d_{loc} \left( \int F dS, \int G dS \right) \lesssim d_\infty(F, G)^{1/2-\varepsilon} \quad (17)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

# Rough Path Integration for Typical Price Paths

---

### Definition

Let  $p \in (2, 3)$ . A  $p$ -rough path is a map  $\mathbb{S} = (S, A) : \Delta_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  s.t.

$$\text{ANA } [S]_{p\text{-var}} + [A]_{p/2\text{-var}} < \infty$$

$$\text{CHEN } A_{ij}(s, t) = A_{ij}(s, u) + A_{ij}(u, t) + S_i(s, u)S_j(u, t)$$

### Definition

Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $q > 0$  s.t.  $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{S} = (S, A)$  be a  $p$ -rough path,  $F : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $F' : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . A pair  $(F, F')$  is **controlled** by  $S$  if the derivative  $F'$  has finite  $q$ -variation and the remainder  $R_F : \Delta_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by

$$R_F(s, t) := F_{s,t} - F'_s S_{s,t} \quad (18)$$

has finite  $r$ -variation with  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . Denote collection of those  $(F, F')$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q$ , together with the semi-norm

$$\|(F, F')\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q} := \|F'\|_{q\text{-var}} + \|R_F\|_{r\text{-var}} \quad (19)$$

The norm  $|F_0| + |F'_0| + \|(F, F')\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q}$  makes  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q$  into a Banach space.

## Integral of Controlled Rough Paths

### Theorem

Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $q > 0$  s.t.  $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{S} = (S, A)$  be a  $p$ -rough path and  $(F, F') \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q$ . Then there exists a **unique** function  $\int F dS \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  which satisfies

$$\left| \int_s^t F_u dS_u - F_s S_{s,t} - F'_s A(s, t) \right| \quad (20)$$

$$\lesssim \|S\|_{p\text{-var}, [s,t]} \|R_F\|_{r\text{-var}, [s,t]} + \|A\|_{p/2\text{-var}, [s,t]} \|F'\|_{q\text{-var}, [s,t]} \quad (21)$$

for every  $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\int_0^t F_u dS_u = \lim_{|P| \downarrow 0} \sum_{s,r \in P} [F_s S_{s,r} + F'_s A(s, r)] \quad (22)$$

for any partition with mesh going to 0.

## Continuity of the Ito-Lyons Map

### Proposition

Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $q > 0$  s.t.  $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 0$ . Let  $\mathbb{S} = (S, A)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} = (\tilde{S}, \tilde{A})$  be two  $p$ -rough paths, and let  $(F, F') \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^q$  and  $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}') \in \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}}^q$ . Then for every  $M > 0$  there is a  $C_M > 0$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^\cdot F_s dS_s - \int_0^\cdot \tilde{F}_s d\tilde{S}_s \right\|_{p\text{-var}} \\ & \leq C_M (|F_0 - \tilde{F}_0| + |F'_0 - \tilde{F}'_0| + \|F' - \tilde{F}'\|_{q\text{-var}} \\ & \quad + \|R_F - R_{\tilde{F}}\|_{r\text{-var}} + \|S - \tilde{S}\|_{p\text{-var}} + \|A - \tilde{A}\|_{p/2\text{-var}}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  rough integration is **locally Lipschitz**

## Typical Price Paths are Rough Paths

### Theorem

For  $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  define

$$A_{s,t}^{i,j}(\omega) := \int_s^t S_r^i dS_r^j(\omega) - S_s^i(\omega) S_{s,t}^j(\omega) := \int_0^t S_r^i dS_r^j(\omega) - \int_0^s S_r^i dS_r^j(\omega) - S_s^i(\omega) S_{s,t}^j(\omega) \quad (23)$$

where  $\int S^i dS^j$  is the *the model free Ito integral*. If  $p > 2$ , then for typical price paths  $A = (A^{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$  has finite  $p/2$ -variation, and in particular  $\mathbb{S} = (S, A)$  is a  $p$ -rough path.

## Recap & Discussion

---

## Conclusion

- gives approximation of rough path integral through **non**-anticipating, **non**-compensated Riemann sums
- does not give explicit formulas for (say) the price of an option
- The integral  $\int_0^t F_s dS_s$  involves the values of  $S$  all the way up to  $t$ . If those are not observable, what to do?

- PP16 Perkowski, Nicolas, and David J. Prömel. "Pathwise stochastic integrals for model free finance." *Bernoulli* 22.4 (2016): 2486-2520.
- D16 Davis, Mark HA. "Model-free methods in valuation and hedging of derivative securities." *The handbook of post crisis financial modelling*. Palgrave Macmillan, London, 2016. 168-189.